

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,421	12/16/2003	Wolfgang Burkhardt	AO720B	6313
7590 07/13/2005		EXAMINER		
Arthur G. Schaier			PHAN, THANH S	
Carmody & Torrance LLP P.O. Box 1110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
50 Leavenworth Street			2841	
Waterbury, CT 06721-1110			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/737,421	BURKHARDT ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thanh S. Phan	2841		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	<u>May 2005</u> .			
2a)⊠		nis action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
_	ion Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appl iority documents have been receal au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellner et al. [US 6,618,328] in view of Schartz [US 5,210,722].

Regarding claims 1 and 5-8, Ellner et al. dislcose an electronic device [1] that includes functionality to perform at least two functions, a first of which is at least timekeeping and the other of which is at least a function other than timekeeping, wherein the electronic device comprises a multilayered module comprising: a frame [not explicitly labeled; the frame is necessarily for comprising the electronic device housing structure] having a frame surface; a timepiece [23] and a removable (second) printed circuit board including means related to at least the function other than timing [an digital and/or an auditory message which obviously comprise a circuit board in order to perform digital and/or electronically functions; column 2, line 58 — column 3, line 2] wherein the second printed circuit board is securable within the module [the replaceable and exchangeable digital or auditory message is secured in the device structure].

Ellner et al. disclose the timepiece except for wherein the timepiece comprise a circuit board/first board and a compartment dimensioned for receiving the battery,

wherein the battery compartment is asymmetrically positioned within the module thus creating a region above a printed circuit board and proximate the battery compartment.

Schwartz discloses an analog timepiece comprising a compartment dimensioned for receiving a battery [energy cell 30], wherein the battery compartment is asymmetrically positioned within the module thus creating a region above a printed circuit board [11] and proximate the battery compartment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the timepiece design of Schwartz with Ellner et al. for the purpose of facilitating power to circuit arrangement within a compact space.

Regarding claims 2-4, Ellner et al. disclose that the second printed circuit board secured within the compartment of the electronic device, and Schartz discloses that the first circuit board is secured within the timepiece. Furthermore, the circuit board(s) and other electronic components should be electrically and physically supported by supporting member(s) within the electronic device in order for the device to perform its functions accordingly.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2841

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh S. Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-2109. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2841

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tsp

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800